

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER-FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,773	12/11/2001	Hannu Konttinen	413-010727-US(PAR)	9944
2512 PERMAN & G	7590 06/12/2008 REEN		EXAMINER	
425 POST ROAD			LUDWIG, MATTHEW J	
FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

H

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination KONTTINEN, HANNU	
	Matthew Ludwig	Art Unit	
Document Code - AP.PRE	.DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>4/11/2008</u>.

	1. Improper Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s):	nd a conference will	not be held for the following
	 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Proposed of the concurrence of the concur	view is appropriate.	
	The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no North		
	2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Internella. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upof the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ere is at least one and 37 CFR 41.37. The cision, or the balance over is greater. Furth	ctual issue for appeal. Applicant e time period for filing an appeal e of the two-month time period er, the time period for filing of the
	The panel has determined the status of the clack Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:	
	3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits renapplicant at this time.		
	4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been had action will be mailed. No further action is required by a		
ΑI	ll participants:		Dist
(1)	KENNY S. LIN.	(3) <u>Stephen Hong</u> .	(STEPHEN HONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(2)	Matthew Ludwig.	(4)	